CWP No. 892 of 2005

1.1.2007 Present: Mr.N.P.Mittal alongwith Mr.Ajay Sharma, counsel
For the petitioners.

Ritesh Sharma, counsel for respondents No. 1 to 3.

It is admitted case of the parties that the petitioners have filed a representation(s) against the order of respondent No. 2, to respondent No. 3 This is also apparent from a perusal of Annexure P-14 which is a letter dated 25th January, 2005 from the Assistant Director General (DE) to respondent No. 2 which is in the following terms :-

> "Subject: Departmental Screening Test for promotion to JTO from TTA against 35% quota held on 25.4.2000 in HP Circle.
>
> Kindly refer to your letter No. R&E/Ex-159/JTO/TTA/Vol. V/38 dated 22.12.2004 on the above subject. It is requested that detailed parawise replies to the representations enclosed to the above mentioned letter together with copies of relevant supporting documents wherever necessary may please be furnished urgently latest by 07.02.2005 for further action at this end."

When this matter was taken up today, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that their clients would be satisfied in case time bound directions are given to respondent No. 3 to dispose of the representation of the petitioner in accordance with law. We feel that this request is genuine. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, we hereby direct respondent No. 3 to dispose of the representation of the petitioners within 8 weeks from today. However, it is made clear that if the petitioners are

aggrieved by the order of respondent No. 3, they shall be at liberty to approach this court. The writ petition and all the pending applications are disposed of.

Dasti copy.

( Deepak Gupta ), J.

January 1, 2007(K) ( Surinder Singh ), J.